

Application No. 10/731,570
Reply to Office Action of February 2, 2007

Docket No.: DP-309093

REMARKS


Claims 1-5, 7-9 and 12-19 were pending. Applicants thank the Examiner for indicating that claims 1-5, 7, 8, and 12-19 would be allowable over the prior art references if the Examiner's objections are overcome. Claim 9 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. In response, Applicants have amended claims 1, 9, 12 and 18 to address both the Examiner's objections to informalities and the rejection under §112. No new matter has been added.

Reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested. In view of the above, each of the presently pending claims in this application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue.

Applicants believe no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 18-0013, under Order No. 65899-0688 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw. To the extent necessary, a petition for extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 is hereby made, the fee for which should be charged to such deposit account number.

Dated: 11/23/07

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Shelly L. Hokenstad
Registration No.: 59,107
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC
Correspondence Customer Number: 10291
Attorney for Applicants

R0457137.DOC